

450 Thunder Head Lane • P.O. Box 1150 • Annetta, Texas 76008 • (817) 441-5770

City Council Meeting Minutes

The City Council of Annetta met in a regularly scheduled meeting on Thursday, February 17, 2022, at 7:00 p.m. in the Annetta Town Hall at 450 Thunder Head Lane to consider the following agenda:

- I. Call to order. Mayor Sandy Roberts called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.
- II. Pledge of Allegiance/Invocation of Prayer. Council Member Shane Mudge led the pledge and Council Member Bruce Pinckard gave the invocation.
- III. **Roll call/Quorum check.** A quorum check revealed that Mayor Roberts and Council Members Shane Mudge, Kent Stasey, Jim Causey and Bruce Pinckard were all present. Council Member Danny Coffman was absent. Also present was Jamee Long, City Secretary.
- IV. **Public Comments:** Citizen, Kristi Turner, discussed CCPD, P&Z packets and sanding of Annetta streets during inclement weather. Citizen, Roger Wilson, discussed Brazos Electric's bankruptcy and the possible effect on electric customers. He requested an update from the Tri-County representatives at the meeting.
- V. Consent Items: Reports from City Staff and Commission or Committees:
 - a. Consider the approval of Minutes from the Special City Council Meetings on January 11, 2022 and February 1, 2022, and Regular City Council Meeting on January 20, 2022.
 - b. Public Works Report.
 - c. Financial Report.

Council Member Stasey moved to accept the consent items as written, Council Member Pinckard seconded the motion, with all aye. Motion passed unanimously.

VI. New Business:

a. Presentation: Presentation by SAMCO Capital regarding the Town's debt service. Andrew Friedman, the Town's financial planner, presented City Council with the Town's debt model and refunding analysis. He stated that the debt model is a summary of outstanding obligations for the Town. He stated that the 2017 obligation refunded the 2010 obligations at lower interest rates which saved the Town money. Mr. Friedman pointed out that when the Town first borrowed money, the Town's rating was BBB which is

barely a category for bond credit ratings. As of last year, the Town has been upgraded to AA-. He stated that the Town's credit worthiness has improved over the past 12 years. He stated that when your credit rating improves, the interest rate that you will be charged goes down and helps to more efficiently fund projects. Since the Town does not have a property tax, the obligations are paid by utility system revenue. Mr. Friedman stated that the 2010 CO's mature in 2023 and are currently callable without penalty. The 2012 CO's will mature in 2032 and will also become callable late this Summer. He stated that the 2015 and 2017 CO's cannot be taken from the investor for some time so they are not included for refunding analysis at this time. They mature in 2029 for the 2015 CO and 2035 for the 2017 CO. Mr. Friedman discussed the refund analysis. He stated that interest rates have been at "rock bottom" rates but began increasing rates last December. He discussed the refunding options and that he would not suggest refunding at this time due to this not being cost-effective for the Town. He stated that there is some potential for savings but after financial consultant fees, there would be no savings. Mr. Friedman stated that the estimated cost for refunding is approximately \$45,000. He stated that if interest rates do come down, he could recommend the refunding. Council Member Pinckard stated that the City Council has continued to do their due diligence and asked Mr. Friedman to explain the call date to the citizens. Mr. Friedman stated that the distinction between a mortgage and a bond is when municipal bonds are sold, they have a 10 year call option to protect the bond investor that purchases the Town's bonds that they will get a semi-annual interest payment. He stated that 10 years is the earliest call date without getting penalized by the bond investor in terms of higher interest rates. A mortgage can always be paid off early but bonds cannot. He stated that since the 2010 bond is callable, there could be a cash payment made on that bond. He stated that once the bonds are callable, there is no penalty to refinance. Mr. Friedman stated that there is a cost for attorneys' fees to pay off callable bonds and this would require City Council action. Council Member Stasey stated that the last bond that was refunded saved the Town over \$600,000 in interest and thanked Mr. Friedman for the information he is presenting. Mr. Friedman stated that since interest rates have been low for over 10 years, he does not foresee interest rates coming back down anytime soon. Council Member Causey asked if refinancing any of the bonds would be feasible at this time. Mr. Friedman stated "no, I don't believe so." Council Member Causey asked if his calculations were run with the Town being rated at AA-. Mr. Friedman stated "yes sir." Council Member Causey asked what the next rating level would be for the Town. Mr. Friedman stated that the next rating level would be AA. He stated that at AA-, this is a very good credit rating for a Town that does not have a property tax. Mr. Friedman stated that the Town is in a unique position in that it does not tap the property tax resource and that he only works with one other town that does not have a property tax. He stated that with the Town's good rating of AA-, this does not require the Town to purchase bond insurance. Citizen, Kristi Turner, asked if the documents that Mr. Friedman presented could be added to the packet. Mayor Roberts stated that they would be added. Council Member Pinckard stated that the payments remain flat until 2030 and then it started to decrease well. He stated that the great news is that the Town has a good credit rating for a Town that does not want to get into debt and in 13 years, the Town will be debt free with about a \$10 million water system on the Town's books. He stated this is truly an enviable position and stated that no other city can do that without a property tax. He stated that the systems are also being improved every year and with a superior water system. Mr. Friedman stated "you are the only one I know."

b. Discussion: Tri-County Electric and Oncor representatives to discuss preparedness for possible power outages. Mayor Roberts introduced Darryl Schriver and Janet Rehrberg with Tri-County Electric. Mr. Schriver presented a Power Point presentation on staff, territory, members, outages, technology and external communication. He stated that Tri-County's main office is now in Aledo. Mr. Schriver discussed ERCOT and Brazos Power Generation. He stated that Brazos Power Generation is a transmission operator. He discussed the various reasons for power outages and advances in technology. Mr. Schriver discussed generator requirements and safety. Mayor Roberts introduced Mike Dimas with Oncor Electric Delivery. Mr. Dimas stated that Oncor is a regulated transmission and distribution utility in the ERCOT system. He

stated that they deliver electricity to more than 10 million Texans. Mr. Dimas stated that Oncor has no generating plants. Mayor Roberts asked each provider questions that were submitted by Annetta citizens.

What are the drivers that determine the electricity cost for homeowners?

Oncor: Mr. Dimas stated that on a typical \$100 a month electric bill, \$75 goes to the retail electric provider and \$25 goes to Oncor. He stated that this is a broad example and all the other companies are electric providers.

Tri-County: Mr. Schriver stated that the drivers that determine electricity costs are generation and the costs to run the generation such as coal, gas and wind. He stated that these factors are unreliable but coal is the most reliable. He stated that 55% of your bill is due to the manufacturing of electricity. He stated that this also has to do with the costs of local people and training. He continued that costs are related to offices, staff, vehicles and maintenance. He stated that electricity will always be a prized commodity that will have costs. Mr. Schriver stated that energy has been cheap for nearly 20 years.

Are solar home systems a good idea from a homeowner and electric grid supply perspective? There are tax credits still being offered. I am concerned about technology and contractor reliability.

Oncor: Mr. Dimas stated that this is subjective and that Oncor does offer some rebates. He believes that due to the initial investment, it takes a while to recoup your money.

Tri-County: Mr. Schriver stated that solar is a personal decision. He compared solar to other decisions homeowners make such as new windows and insulation, and this is a personal preference.

Bottom line, because of the arrogance and mismanagement by Texas business and political "leaders", ERCOT is in exclusive and absolute control of the supplies and cost of energy in Texas. Reliability and a responsibly stable cost is all a consumer can hope for. How are you able to assure these?

Oncor: Mr. Dimas stated that Oncor does what it can to manage their costs and has a robust management program. He stated that Oncor spends a lot of money on tree trimming and he has noticed that this has prevented a lot of outages.

Tri-County: Mr. Schriver stated that the February 2021 event was statewide with negative temperatures for several days. He stated that Tri-County was very prepared for the storm. He stated that their response time was good and that they had crews laid out. He stated that there were only 12 outages in the last storm and they were in the Seymour area and it was due to a transmission line. He also discussed robust tree trimming. Council Member Pinckard stated that both companies are great to work with and are responsive. He asked how a customer can convert their above ground service to underground. Mr. Schriver stated that you would need to contact the Tri-County office for a quote. He also requested that customers that have bought generators need to contact Tri-County to register and let them know they have a generator. He stated that there is a possibility of increasing the energy on a transformer through a generator and this could injure a lineman if they were working on that line at that time. He stated that registering a generator is for the safety of their employees. Council Member Pinckard stated that customers can also keep their trees trimmed away from the lines. He also discussed that both Oncor and Tri-County have power lines along Old Annetta Road and the large trees that canopy the road.

Who was held responsible for last year's electric failure and are they still employed, controlling our electric usage and why were they able to configure our electric grid in such a way as to allow the catastrophic failure to Texas?

Oncor: Mr. Dimas stated that ERCOT was held responsible. He stated that it is his understanding that the out-of-state board members that were with ERCOT are no longer with them. Mayor Roberts asked Mr. Dimas what their big learning experience was from last year's storm that have been put in place for moving forward. Mr. Dimas stated that Oncor does not do rolling blackouts. He stated that once ERCOT turned everything back over to Oncor, they started bringing the load back up slowly. He discussed the term cold load pickup. He stated that when the lights went out and heaters were running and then the power shuts off. When this happened, everything was still on while the power was off. As Oncor was bringing the power back up while everything was still on, the transformers were being damaged. He stated that Oncor had to change out over 3,000 transformers over the next couple of days after this event. He said that Oncor engineers have evaluated better ways like pulling the meters out prior to turning the transformers back on and then bringing the houses up a little at a time. Council Member Stasey stated that it made sense to bring everything back up slowly similar to phasing in a breaker switch in your home. Council Member Causey stated that it was his understanding the Railroad Commission was in charge of ways to safeguard the system. He asked if the things they are learning have been passed on to Oncor and others to be better prepared in the future. Mr. Dimas stated that this was probably passed on to the power plants.

Mr. Wilson asked about the Brazos bankruptcy and how this would affect customers. Mr. Schriver stated that Brazos is still in bankruptcy and Tri-County will not know anything until Brazos files a plan. He stated that there could be a charge that would be added to electric bills. He will not have specifics until after they are farther into the process. He stated that bankruptcy is a grueling process and that bankruptcy will provide them some protection.

Tri-County: Mr. Schriver stated that he believes the grid is the responsibility of the legislature and they took responsibility when the PUC staff was replaced. He stated that there have been legislative changes and the management of ERCOT has changed.

When will Tri-County be an available provider for Meadow Park housing area?

Tri-County: Mr. Schriver stated that the Meadow Park area is served by Oncor. He stated that providers are decided by the developers and are "out of the hands" of Tri-County. He stated that it is a dual area and there are ways from time to time to switch but this is costly. He stated that this would need to be amicable for all sides.

What percentage of Tri-County customers lost power during last year's energy crisis and how long was the average power out? What are the extra fees carried by Tri-County Electric and how do they compare to Oncor? What are the necessary steps to transfer to Tri-County, new lines/poles, meters etc.? Can anyone at will more to Tri-County, and if so, what does it entail?

Tri-County: Mr. Schriver stated that there were two outages last year. He stated that the first one was the grid that Tri-County has and as far as the failures on their side, the longest outage was 37 minutes. He stated that the percentage of outage on their system was less than 2,000 people over a period of 5 days. He stated that at the beginning of the storm, ERCOT mandated Tri-County to shed 1.5% of their load. Mr. Schriver discussed examples of load shed so the entire grid does not come down. He stated that the very next morning, ERCOT mandated Tri-County to shed 21% of their load, then quickly after that it was 25%, then 45% before things calmed down. Mr. Schriver also discussed rolling blackouts. He stated the extra fees are for wire service, transmission fees from Brazos and generator fees which are a bundled rate. He stated that the necessary steps to transfer to Tri-County are that you have to be in their territory and contact them for service. Mayor Roberts asked if there is an extra expense if a citizen wants a Tri-

County pole versus an Oncor pole? Mr. Schriver stated that if a citizen is in a dual area, this would fall under Rule 25.27 which explains the procedure for switching utilities. He stated that you would also need to contact their office. Janet Rehrberg stated that when Tri-County was doing the manual load shed, they would ping the meters to make sure all meters were coming back on. She stated that if there were meters that did not come back on, they would send a crew out to check the meters. She stated that this helped the outage time because they were being proactive.

1) With the explosive business and residential growth in the area, what is being done to increase capacity for electricity consumption? 2) Thankfully, we (Tri-County customer) did not experience any power outages last week, did we learn anything about the grid system from last week's weather?

Oncor: Mr. Dimas stated that Oncor has added substations and additional feeders were added in 2015. He stated that there are a total of 6 feeders and that they are prepared for whatever happens in this area regarding growth.

Tri-County: Mr. Schriver stated that Tri-County also has substations in the area and are prepared for the growth. He stated that Tri-County also has capacity to upgrade and their engineers are tracking loads and growth. Mr. Schriver discussed gas production's role in the power outages. He stated that the gas industry is regulated by the Railroad Commission and the outages have forced the legislature to get involved. Council Member Causey asked if the Texas grid is separate from the rest of the United States. Mr. Schriver stated that the Texas grid is its own entity.

Is the electric provider for Annetta determined by the city council? Is that decision based on money paid to the city or council members? Does the city have any biases or preferences to either entities? Do the residents of Annetta have a vote or say in this matter other than this survey?

Oncor: Mr. Dimas stated that Oncor has a franchise agreement with Annetta. He stated there is no money paid to the city or council members and there is no bias or preference to either entity.

Tri-County: Mr. Schriver stated that Tri-County has a franchise agreement with Annetta. He stated that he does not believe the city can keep them from servicing the area. He stated that there is no money paid to the city or council members and there is no bias or preference to either utility and if the consumer wants to switch, they can pay for that service to get to their property.

Council Member Pinckard stated that the Town has looked into to dual service at the wastewater treatment plant and was told that we could not or it would be at a high cost. He discussed the Town's generators and there are more on order.

Mayor Roberts stated that the last question has already been addressed and that the developer makes the choice of the entity.

Council Member Stasey stated that there is opportunity where dual service exists. He discussed the dual service the Town has and how this helped during last year's storm so that Annetta water customers did not lose water service. He stated this saved a lot of customers money so they could continue to drip their faucets to aid in keeping their pipes from freezing. Council Member Stasey stated that he appreciated both companies coming tonight and answering questions and he especially appreciates the employees and linemen. He prays that everyone comes home safe.

Citizen, Mike Stewart, discussed the different kinds of generators, the gas operated ones and the ones hooked up directly to the house that come on automatically when there is an outage. He stated that his generator sits on the patio and is gas operated, so it does not come on automatically. He asked that if this type of generator is a hazard while lineman are working? Mr. Schriver stated that if the ones that are built into the home on a switch and were not inspected when they were installed are the kind he was discussing, that could be a hazard to linemen. Mr. Schriver stated that the switch is what Tri-County is most concerned about. He stated that if the generator is plugged into the house and the power is reversed, this could cause a problem too. He stated that anytime you are not using your internal house grid via generator without the switch, the switch installed is what Tri-County wants. Citizen, Fred Knopp, stated that he has lived in Deer Creek for 36 years. He wanted to clarify that Tri-County is generating electricity and Oncor is only transmitting electricity. He stated that in his opinion Oncor's "hands are tied" by the companies generating the electricity, but Tri-County is a full service company. He stated that ERCOT has "everyone by the tail" if they are telling companies that are a whole in itself, but cannot do what they want to do, but have to do what everyone else is doing. Mr. Schriver stated that all companies have equal responsibilities in an emergency situation. All companies are connected with ERCOT and all follow the same rules. He stated that this was a grid emergency and we were minutes from the entire grid going black. He stated that if that had happened, the electricity would have been off for much longer than it was. This was a safety standard that all companies had to adhere to. Council Member Pinckard reminded everyone that this is a discussion on preparedness. He thanked Tri-County and Oncor for the presentations. Council Member Pinckard stated that he prays for the servicemen working on the lines and that citizens should trim trees to help mitigate unnecessary calls so the crews can attack the critical situations. He stated that the storm last year was fairly rare at that scale. Citizen, Kristi Turner, stated that she has a transformer in her yard that was leaning. She called Oncor and it was repaired. She asked if Oncor is doing routine checks of this type of equipment? Mr. Dimas stated that they do not physically go out and check this type of equipment but rely on customers to notify them when there is an issue. Ms. Turner stated that she had to contact them multiple times and sent photos of the transformer in her yard. Mr. Dimas stated that if a customer is not getting resolution, to ask for a supervisor. Mayor Roberts asked if customers are not getting resolution, how should this be handled? Mr. Dimas stated that emails and photos do aid in Oncor evaluating and prioritizing issues. Citizen, Mike Brasovan, asked Mr. Dimas how much of the cold load pickup occurred during the blackouts and after the re-engage order? Mr. Dimas stated that on Thursday at 5:00 p.m. when ERCOT released them, they started the registration process. Mr. Brasovan asked how much rolling did Oncor accomplish? Mr. Dimas stated the majority was "after the fact." Mr. Brasovan asked how Oncor is providing for this issue in the future? Mr. Dimas stated that when ERCOT has them reduce power by one-third, Oncor has predetermined feeds to shed except for critical care loads. Mr. Brasovan asked why they do not do this at the meter level for flexibility? Mr. Dimas stated that it would be too time consuming to go meter to meter. He stated that Oncor plans to follow their current restoration plan. Mr. Schriver stated that on the utility side, they are faced with millions of dollars in fines if they do not comply and that all utilities are looking into different ways to handle these types of situations. He stated that the companies are also faced with audits when an event is over. Mr. Schriver discussed the large amount of applications Tri-County has received requesting critical load status. Ms. Turner asked if citizens on oxygen should notify their electric provider. Mr. Dimas stated that they should contact their provider. Mayor Roberts asked about this process. Mr. Schriver stated that there is a form and process for critical status and there must be medical documentation submitted.

- c. Discussion and Action: Consider a proclamation proclaiming March 20-26, 2022 as Poison Prevention Week. Mayor Roberts read the proclamation. Council Member Pinckard moved to approve the proclamation proclaiming March 20-26, 2022 as Poison Prevention Week, Council Member Stasey seconded the motion, with all aye. Motion passed unanimously.
- d. Discussion and Action: Consider authorizing the Mayor to enter into an interlocal agreement with Parker County for Annetta crime patrols using CCPD funds. Council Member Pinckard moved to open this item

up for discussion, Council Member Stasey seconded the motion. City Secretary, Jamee Long, stated that the Mayor and Council Member Coffman met with the Parker County Sheriff and County Attorney about a possible interlocal agreement for Annetta crime patrols using CCPD funds. She stated that these would be random patrols on a weekly and monthly basis. Mayor Roberts stated that this would add a law enforcement presence in Annetta and would increase the safety of our citizens. Council Member Stasey stated that there are already patrols in Annetta by the Sheriff's Department, but this would give the Town an opportunity to increase those patrols. Council Member Pinckard stated that when we do receive a draft of the interlocal agreement from the County Attorney, he would suggest scheduling a CCPD meeting to formally adopt the agreement. He stated that the purpose of adding this type of item to the agenda is to notify the public of the ideas that are being discussed for use of the CCPD funds. Council Member Stasey stated that if the Town had its own police department, there would be legal compliance, vehicles, personnel and other expenses. He asked if the Town would have liability since this would be Parker County personnel and equipment? Ms. Long stated that this agreement would be an hourly rate for the officer doing the patrols. Citizen, Tim Pierce, stated that there should be something in place to verify how much time the officers patrol in Annetta. Citizen, Kristi Turner, stated that citizens are already paying into Parker County for law enforcement even though Annetta does not have a property tax. Council Member Stasey stated that the CCPD sales tax stays with Annetta so this would be a good use of the funds. He discussed how the Town has been proactive about not allowing that tax to be collected by another jurisdiction. Ms. Turner stated that there is a group of families beginning to form for community crime watches. Council Member Pinckard stated that CCPD has not had a meeting in a while due to the pandemic. He encouraged everyone to do their due diligence by locking their cars, keeping garage doors closed and looking out for their neighbors. No action taken.

Discussion and Action: Consider authorizing the purchase of 2 solar LED radar speed signs using CCPD funds. Council Member Pinckard moved to open this item up for discussion, Council Member Stasey seconded the motion. Mayor Roberts discussed a graphic from Halff Associates depicting placement of the signs on Old Annetta Road. City Secretary, Jamee Long, discussed the two bids in the packet. There are options for an installed sign and a sign on a trailer that could be moved to different locations. Council Member Pinckard stated that this topic has come up due to the increase in accidents at the Old Annetta Road and Briarwood curve. He stated that most accidents are due to driving at speeds over the posted speed limit. He stated that there are currently two signs coming from both directions that have flags above the signs. He stated that if he thought this might help, he would entertain the idea of renting a sign to see if it would help. He is concerned that young drivers might use the radar signs to see how fast they could make the curve. Mayor Roberts stated that the Town's vendor, Roadrunner, stated that this does happen and they are currently removing some of these type of signs in other cities. She stated that the signs do collect data such as time and vehicle speeds so that the Town could monitor what is trending in that location. She stated that the data could be a tool that the Town could use in conjunction with the Sheriff's patrols in Annetta. Council Member Causey asked if the Town has received clearance from the attorney to use the CCPD fund in this manner. Ms. Long stated that the Town's attorney has approved this use of CCPD funds and that the signs are budgeted. She stated that the flags on the signs at that curve have been recently replaced. Council Member Causey stated that he has a concern with theft and vandalism. Council Member Stasey stated that there are 28 signs along Old Annetta Road. He stated that there are 15 signs eastbound and 13 signs westbound. He stated there is a more concentration of signs near the Briarwood curve and flags on 4 of the signs. Council Member Stasey discussed the possibility of the Town's insurance covering the signs should they be stolen or damaged. Citizen, Fred Knopp, stated that he has worked a number of the accidents at this curve and he believes a guardrail could assist in this area. Mr. Knopp stated that he does not believe that radar signs make drivers slow down. Council Member Stasey stated that he has a concern with a guardrail due to this possibly sending a vehicle in the other lane causing a head on collision. Mr. Knopp stated that the guardrail would slow down a car. Council Member Pinckard stated that there are several areas along Old Annetta Road that need major updates and upgrades. He discussed the old culverts along Old Annetta Road. He discussed some county funds that could be used on a discretionary basis for Old Annetta Road. Citizen, Kristi Turner, asked if a traffic study was performed on Lakeview and Rim Rock Road a couple of years ago? Council Member Pinckard stated that it was discussed by City Council but not approved. Ms. Turner asked if the "sinking of Old

Annetta Road" would be fixed anytime soon. Council Member Pinckard stated that Old Annetta Road used to be 6 feet narrower and when it was widened, some drivers began driving faster. Citizen, Brian Berryhill, thanked the City Council for discussing the issues on Old Annetta Road. He does not believe radar signs would solve the problem and does not think this is a good use of CCPD funds. Mr. Berryhill stated that he believes larger arrow signs could assist with better visibility of the curve. He also believes a police car at the curve would make drivers slow down. No action taken.

- f. Discussion and Action: Review, discuss and consider the 2022 road evaluations and consider 2022 road projects. Council Member Causey moved to approve the 2022 recommended road projects, Council Member Stasey seconded the motion, with all aye. Motion passed unanimously. Council Member Pinckard stated that Annetta staff performs the road evaluations with Precinct 4 each year. He stated that in 2015 the City Council began aggressively maintaining Annetta streets. City Secretary, Jamee Long, discussed the 2022 road evaluation report. She stated that Precinct 4 is only chip sealing again this year. She stated that there are a couple of roads that need to be rebuilt but this would need to be performed by an outside contractor or discussed with the next commissioner. She stated that the Town is attempting to chip seal up to 3 miles per year and is starting over on the list of streets since 2015. Council Member Pinckard stated that there are 18 miles of Annetta streets and this is a good plan for a city with no property tax. Council Member Stasey agreed that this is aggressive plan to maintain Annetta streets.
- g. Discussion and Action: Consider authorizing the Mayor to execute an Addendum to Municipal-County Contract for Road Repair Service for an expenditure of \$71,641 for resurfacing Buckskin Street, Crosswind Court, Highland Drive, Quail Run, Regal Ridge, Rock Court, Silver Ridge Lane, Tealwood Lane, Thistlewood Lane, Timber Court, Wild Briar Court, Windmill Road and Windrush Court (labor and equipment approximately 2.5 miles). Council Member Pinckard moved to authorize the Mayor to execute an Addendum to Municipal-County Contract for Road Repair Service for an expenditure of \$71,641 for resurfacing Buckskin Street, Crosswind Court, Highland Drive, Quail Run, Regal Ridge, Rock Court, Silver Ridge Lane, Tealwood Lane, Thistlewood Lane, Timber Court, Wild Briar Court, Windmill Road and Windrush Court, Council Member Stasey seconded the motion, with all aye. Motion passed unanimously.
- h. Discussion and Action: Consider authorizing the expenditure of \$45,810 for approximately 500 tons, Grade 4 topping rock, approximately 15,000 gallons CRS2 for resurfacing Buckskin Street, Crosswind Court, Highland Drive, Quail Run, Regal Ridge, Rock Court, Silver Ridge Lane, Tealwood Lane, Thistlewood Lane, Timber Court, Wild Briar Court, Windmill Road and Windrush Court (materials). Council Member Mudge moved to authorize the expenditure of \$45,810 for approximately 500 tons, Grade 4 topping rock, approximately 15,000 gallons CRS2 for resurfacing Buckskin Street, Crosswind Court, Highland Drive, Quail Run, Regal Ridge, Rock Court, Silver Ridge Lane, Tealwood Lane, Thistlewood Lane, Timber Court, Wild Briar Court, Windmill Road and Windrush Court, Council Member Pinckard seconded the motion, with all aye. Motion passed unanimously.
- i. Discussion and Action: Consider an ordinance amending the 2021-22 Town of Annetta Budget. Council Member Causey moved to approve an ordinance amending the 2021-22 Town of Annetta Budget, Council Member Pinckard seconded the motion, with all aye. Motion passed unanimously.
- VII. **Executive Session:** As authorized by Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code, the City Council reserves the right to adjourn into executive session at any time during the course of this meeting to discuss the following:
 - a. § 551.074. Deliberation regarding personnel matters. (1) The City Council may convene in executive session to deliberate the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, or dismissal of a public officer or employee including deliberation regarding the following officers or employees:
 - 1. City Clerk

The executive session began at 9:39 p.m. and closed at 9:52 p.m.

- VIII. Take any action from executive session, if needed. No action taken.
- **IX. Items of Community Interest.** Mayor Roberts announced that the Annetta Clean-up Day is scheduled for February 26 from 9:00 a.m. until noon.
- X. Adjournment: With no further business before the council, the Mayor adjourned the meeting at 9:53 p.m.

These minutes were approved on the 17th day of March, 2022.

Jamee Long, City Secretary

Sandy Roberts, Mayor